LHC searches for dark matter

Uli Haisch

Evidence for dark matter



10⁵ ly

Evidence for dark matter

Bullet cluster



Gravitational lensing

Evidence for dark matter

Time = 0.05 Gyr



10⁹ ly

Structure formation

Content of Universe



But what is dark matter?

- As a particle physicist I want to know how dark matter (DM) fits into a particle description
- What do we know about it?
 - Dark (neutral)
 - Massive
 - Still around today (stable or with a lifetime exceeding the age of the Universe)
- Nothing in the Standard Model of particle physics fits the profile



Standard Model (SM)

DM questionnaire

Mass:	
Spin:	
Lifetime:	
Couplings:	
🗹 Gravity	
Weak interaction?	
Higgs?	
Quarks/gluons?	
Leptons?	
Thermal relic?	
Yes	

Particle probes of DM







• The common theme of searches for DM is that all methods are determined by how the DM particles interact with the SM

Particle probes of DM

LUX detector



• The common theme of searches for DM is that all methods are determined by how the DM particles interact with the SM

Particle probes of DM

LHC at CERN

CERN ATLAS

Point 2



• The common theme of searches for DM is that all methods are determined by how the DM particles interact with the SM



• Claims for DM discovery have been made based on the results of indirect and direct detection experiments. Since the backgrounds in both cases are large and uncertain (and given that we have no control over the signal), claims remain unsubstantiated

DM production at the LHC

- If DM particles are sufficiently light and couple to quarks or gluons, we should be able to produce them at the LHC
- By studying DM production in proton-proton collisions, we are testing the inverse of the process that kept DM in thermal equilibrium in the early Universe
- LHC may allow us to produce other states of "dark sector", which are no longer present in the Universe today

ATLAS detector



46 m × 25 m, 7000 t, 3000 km of cables, ...

- The DM particles interact so weakly that they are expected to pass out of the detector components without any significant interaction, making them effectively invisible (much like neutrinos)
- One way to "see" DM particles nonetheless, works by looking for "missing momentum" and additional SM radiation







 Second way to try to detect SM, based on production of "partner" particles that decay to DM and SM particles



"Bump hunting" for the Higgs



The di-photon decay of the Higgs leads to a nice bump in the invariant mass distribution

"Bump hunting" for the Higgs

To see the bump for the Higgs decaying to two Z bosons, one does not even have to zoom in



"Tail surgery" to find DM



"Tail surgery" to find DM



The presence of DM manifests itself in a small enhancement in the tail of the missing energy distribution

A big challenge indeed

How well can I measure the few events sitting in the tail? How well can I calculate these small numbers?

Experimentalist

Theorist









But we also need a DM theory

- The three main search strategies perform quite different measurements.
 Without a theoretical model of DM, we cannot compare the results
- If evidence for DM is found in one type of search, we can predict in a given model the signals that should be seen in other searches



No lack of theoretical models



No lack of theoretical models







Complete DM theories



Complete = complicated

- All complete DM models add more particles to the SM, most of which are not viable DM candidates
- The classical example is the MSSM, in which each SM particle gets its own "superpartner"
- In the case of the MSSM there are 20 additional parameters that can be relevant for DM physics



Minimal supersymmetric SM (MSSM)

One way to produce DM in MSSM











DM effective field theories



Effective = easy

- At the other end of complexity are models in which the DM particles are the only new states that can be produced at the LHC
- In such cases, effective field theory allows us to describe the DM-SM interactions mediated by all heavy particles in a simple and universal way







 $p = p_{\bar{q}} + p_q = p_{\bar{X}} + p_X$



 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{X} \\ = \\ \mathbf{X} \end{array} = \\ \frac{g^2}{p^2 - M_{Z_1}^2} (\mathbf{\bar{q}} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{q}) (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{X}) \end{array}$

 $p = p_{\bar{q}} + p_q = p_{\bar{X}} + p_X$









Mass

Independent of heavy physics

LHC limits on suppression scale



Comparison with direct detection



The LHC constraints are strongest at low DM mass, where direct detection is challenging due to the small nuclear recoil

Comparison with direct detection



The LHC is superior to any spin-dependent search for all DM masses, since DM-nucleon scattering is incoherent in this case

Simplified DM models



Simplified = in-between

- Another interesting option is to consider models that contain DM and the most important state mediating its interactions with the SM
- Unlike the effective field theories, these simplified models can describe the full kinematics of DM production at the LHC
- Simplified DM models have typically a few parameters



Outlook

- Dark matter implies physics beyond the Standard Model
- An understanding of dark matter thus requires new theoretical concepts. These can be complete models, but it is also fruitful to think about less defined, more hazy sketches of theories
- Searches at the LHC, in underground experiments and in astrophysical observations naturally target different parts of the dark matter theory space. They complement one another
- Once we have a detection, only the full suite of techniques will allow us to fully learn what dark matter really is

The LHC can bring sketches of dark matter to life!



A possible timeline 2013 2014 Mass Spin 2015 Stable? YOU ARE HERE Couplings: **G**ravity Weak interaction? 2016 Higgs? Quarks/gluons? Leptons? Thermal relic? 2017 2018











A possible timeline

2015

2013

2014

- 2016

2017

2018

????

YOU ARE HERE



Fermi observes a faint gamma ray line at 150 GeV from the galactic center Neutrinos are seen coming from the Sun by IceCube

A possible timeline

2013

