

A. Gerbershagen, D. Schulte, P. Skowronski, T. Persson, E. Ikarios, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland P. N. Burrows, G.B. Christian, C. Perry, John Adams Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Abstract

The CLIC drive beam provides RF power for acceleration of the main beam, and hence Iongitudinal beam's phase the drive tolerances are very tight. A feedforward chicane consisting of four electromagnetic kickers is proposed as a correction system for the phase errors, which should allow loosening of the tolerances. A prototype of such a chicane system developed by CERN, INFN and the University of Oxford, is planned to be installed at CTF3 in 2012. The present poster summarizes the parameters of the planned phase correction system and presents simulations, which are used to make predictions of the performance of such a feedforward system at CTF3.

Introduction

CLIC is a linear e+e- collider based on the two-beam-scheme, in which the main beam is accelerated by the RF power extracted from the drive beam. The drive beam tolerances are very strict.



Layout of the CLIC drive beam with the relevant tolerances and feed-forward system

Performance Simulations of a Phase Stabilization System Prototype for CTF3



chicane, bending the trajectory as shown on the figure (right).

Specification of correction system prototype for CTF3

- a feedback system for the correction of the
 - mean phase of the trains and
 - the static errors within the trains;

• a feed-forward system for the intra-train dynamic correction (scheme below).

> The measurement will be performed at TL1 for feed-forward system and at TL2 for feedback system,

the correction always applied at TL2. The amplifier is designed to have a ~50 MHz bandwidth for the 3 GHz beam at first stage. The electromagnetic kicker should provide a kick range of ± 1.2 kV and a maximal phase correction of $\pm 17^{\circ}$ at 12 GHz.

Performance simulation of feedback correction

• Measure train mean phase at TL2

• Correct this value on all bunches of the next

Figure of merit: standard $\sigma = 1$ $\left|\frac{1}{m}\sum_{m}(\varphi_{train})_{m}^{2}\right|$ phase. Feedback is calculated with

$$(\varphi_{train,fb})_m = (\varphi_{train})_m - a \cdot (\varphi_{train})_{m-1}$$

With a=1 and a = correlation constantbetween two subsequent train means (0.61).

Feedback type	σ in degrees at 12 GHz
No feedback	5.25
1-to-1 feedback	4.59
1-to-0.61 feedback	4.14



Performance simulation of feed-forward correction

• measure the phase at TL1 (10 ns steps); • Apply correction on the same train at TL2. Figure of merit: $\sqrt{\frac{1}{m \cdot n} \sum_{m} \sum_{n} (\varphi_{mn})^2}$ standard deviation $\sigma = 1$ of the bunch phase. Feed-forward is calculated with $1 \quad n \perp h/2$

meas

ments have differe stand devia Hence we

Summary and Outlook

The simulations predict a measurable effect of phase correction system prototype at CTF3, allowing the experimental test of its functionality. The feed-forward correction range of $\pm 17^{\circ}$ seems to be sufficient and hence the feedback system is optional.



In order to simulate the feed-forward we:

$$\varphi_{mn,ff} = \varphi_{mn} - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{i=n-b/2}^{n+b/2} a \cdot \varphi_{mi}$$

b being the 20 ns corresponding to 50 MHz bandwidth. Average measured phase along





one train (in deg at 12 GHz) of TL1 (left) and TL2 (right) have a correlation of 0.85, and

sure- ts	Monitor position and feed- forward type	σ in degrees at 12 GHz
15	TL1	39.48
•	TL2 without feed-forward	23.30
rent	TL2 with 1-to-1 feed-forward	23.66
dard	TL2 with 1-to-0.5 feed-forward	13.51
ations.	TL2 with 1-to-0.5 feed-forward with 17 deg maximal correction	14.75
	with they maximal contection	

use a=1 and a=0.85× σ (TL2)/ σ (TL1) = 0.5. Also the limitation of the maximal correction (± 17° at 12 GHz) has been considered.